UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,534	08/31/2001	Blake MingQi Dong	47013/PAN/S850	3296
	7590 01/12/200 <b>RKER &amp; HALE, LLP</b>	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068		SALIARD, SHANNON S		
PASADENA, C	A 91109-7008		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/944,534	DONG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SHANNON S. SALIARD	3628	

	SHANNON S. SALIARD	3628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cauce			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);				
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.						
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/John W Hayes/	Shannon S Saliard					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628	Examiner Art Unit: 3628					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues, "the Examiner's position that "difference between expiring free postage value and postage value are only found in the non-functional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited" is traversed. First, the Examiner notes that the claim states, "transferring a first portion of said expiring free postage value to a postage meter; storing a second portion of said expiring free postage value in a free postage accont; and resetting a balance..." Since the rejection of claim 1 is based upon the combination of Storace, Kara, and Yakal, the combination of what the references teach when combined must be considered. As stated in the Final Rejection dated 6/30/08, Storace teaches transferring and storing portions of postage value [Storace, col 4,line 64-col 5, line 5; col 8, lines 1-8, col 2, lines 1925]. Kara teaches resetting a balance of a postage account upon expiration [col 1, lines 46-51]. Storace and Kara do not teach that the postage value is expiring free postage. However, Yakal was cited for teaching free postage value. Since the steps in Storace and Kara are the same as the steps in Applicant's invention, and since there is nothing in claim 1 that distinguishes the postage values of Storace and Kara from Applicant's postage value; the invention is obvious. In particular, the fact the the postage value is called "expiring free postage value" in Applicant's claim does not distinguish it from any other postage value, since "expiring free postage value" is merely considered to be the name given to the postage value by the Applicant. Since, identity of terminology in a prior art reference is not a requirement for anticipation under § 102, the rejections are maintained, see In re Bond, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).